

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

DISTRICT COURT  
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

FILED IN OPEN COURT  
STEVEN D. GRIERSON  
CLERK OF THE COURT

JAN 19 2018

BY: *Ajam Brown*  
AJAM. BROWN, DEPUTY

CASE NO.: A-15-714369-C  
DEPT. NO.: XXX

WILLIAM BAKER, SR., Individually, and as  
an Heir of the Estate of FREDERICK  
TYRONE BAKER, and CANDICE RENAE  
BRYAN as Special Administrator of the Estate  
of FREDERICK TYRONE BAKER,  
deceased,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

TIMOTHY EICHENLAUB, D.O.,  
Individually, LAS VEGAS PAIN INSTITUTE  
AND MEDICAL CENTER, LLC, a Nevada  
Limited Liability Corporation dba  
COMPREHENSIVE URGENT CARE; LAS  
VEGAS PAIN INSTITUTE, LLC, a Nevada  
Limited Liability Corporation dba  
COMPREHENSIVE URGENT CARE, DOES  
I-X and ROES I-X, jointly and severally,

Defendants.

SPECIAL VERDICT FORM

We answer the questions submitted as follows:

QUESTION NO. 1

Did Timothy Eichenlaub, D.O. breach the standard of care owed to Frederick Baker?

YES

NO

X

\_\_\_\_\_

If your answer is No, please sign and return this verdict form.

QUESTION NO. 2

If you answered Yes in response to Question No. 1, was such breach of the standard of care the

1 proximate cause of Frederick Baker's death?

2 YES  
3 X

NO  
\_\_\_\_\_

4 If your answer is No, please sign and return this verdict form. If your answer to Question No. 1 and  
5 Question No. 2 are both Yes, please continue to Question No. 3 and Question No. 4.

6 **QUESTION NO. 3**

7  
8 At the time Defendant Timothy Eichenlaub, D.O. breached the standard of care, was he in the  
9 course and scope of his employment with Defendant Las Vegas Pain Institute and Medical Center,  
10 LLC?

11 YES  
12 X

NO  
\_\_\_\_\_

13 **QUESTION NO. 4**

14  
15 Was decedent Frederick Baker comparatively negligent by failing to follow reasonable and  
16 proper advice and instructions given to him by Defendant Eichenlaub?

17 YES  
18 \_\_\_\_\_

NO  
X

19 **QUESTION NO. 5**

20  
21 If your answer to Question No. 4 was Yes, please provide the percentage of fault apportioned  
22 to the decedent Frederick Baker and the Defendant Eichenlaub:

23 Defendant Eichenlaub \_\_\_\_\_%

24 Decedent Frederick Baker \_\_\_\_\_%

25 If you answered Yes to Question No. 1 and Question No. 2, please answer Question No. 6 and  
26 Question No. 7.

27 **QUESTION NO. 6**

28

1 Do you find by clear and convincing evidence that Defendant Timothy Eichenlaub, D.O.'s  
2 conduct was engaged in with fraud, oppression or malice?

3 YES NO  
4 X \_\_\_\_\_  
5

6 **QUESTION NO. 7**

7 Please state the damages you find, if any, that Plaintiffs suffered as a result of Defendant  
8 Timothy Eichenlaub's conduct:

9 *Plaintiff William Baker, Sr.*

10 Loss of probable support \$ 175,000

11 Loss of companionship, society, comfort and \$ 500,000  
12 consortium

13 Pain, suffering or disfigurement of the decedent \$ 100,000

14 Grief or sorrow suffered by William Baker, Sr.  
15 and any grief or sorrow reasonably certain to be \$ 2,100,000  
16 experienced in the future

17 DATED this 19 day of January, 2018.

18  
19   
20 \_\_\_\_\_  
21 FOREPERSON  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28